SA-1 Charlie-1 Goseph-1

DAN F. ARNETT CHIEF OF STAFF

Phone: (803) 737-0800 Fax: (803) 737-0801

P.O. Box 11263 Columbia, S.C. 29211

December 7, 2005

#### **VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Re:

Application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Incorporated for

Adjustment of Rates and Charges and Modifications to Certain Terms and

Conditions for the Provision of Water and Sewer Service

**Docket No. 2005-217-WS** 

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and sixteen (16) copies of the Settlement Agreement in the above referenced matter. The parties respectfully request that the Settlement Agreement be placed into the record in this docket. Please date stamp the extra copy enclosed and return it to me in the envelope provided.

Thank you very much for your assistance. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Wendy B. Cartledge

WBC/pjm Enclosures

cc: John M.S. Hoefer, Esquire

#### BEFORE

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF SOUTH CAROLINA

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS**



IN RE:

Application of Utilities Services of )	
SC, Inc. for Adjustment of Rates and )	
Charges and Modifications to Certain )	
Terms and Conditions for the )	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Provision of Water and Sewer Service )	
)	
)	

This is to certify that I, Pamela McMullan, an employee with the Office of Regulatory Staff, have this date served one (1) copy of the **SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** in the above-referenced matter to the person(s) named below by causing said copy to be deposited in the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and affixed thereto, and addressed as shown below:

John M.S. Hoefer, Esquire Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A. Post Office Box 8416 1022 Calhoun Street, Suite 302 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Pamela McMullan

December 7, 2005 Columbia, South Carolina

#### **BEFORE**

# THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### **SOUTH CAROLINA**

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS**

**December 7, 2005** 

SC PUBLIC SERVI	2005 DEC -7 PM 4:	品の門へ回り
R	<b>5</b>	

Application of Utilities Services of	)	
South Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of	)	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
rates and charges and modifications to	)	
certain terms and conditions for the	)	
provision of water and sewer service.	)	
_	)	

This Settlement Agreement is made by and between the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") and Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. ("USSC" or "the Company") (together referred to as the "Parties" or sometimes individually as "Party").

WHEREAS, the Company has prepared and filed an Application seeking an adjustment of its rates and charges and modifications to certain terms and conditions set out in its rate schedule for the provision of its water and sewer service;

WHEREAS, the above-captioned proceeding has been established by the South Carolina Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to the procedure established in S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-240 (Supp. 2004), and the Parties to this Settlement Agreement are the only parties of record in the above-captioned docket;

WHEREAS, since the filing of the Application, ORS has propounded numerous data requests to USSC and the Company has prepared in response thereto hundreds of pages of information related to the matters at issue in this docket and has provided those responses to ORS;

Page 1 of 8

gust wec

WHEREAS, ORS has audited the books and records of the Company relative to the matters raised in the Application and, in connection therewith, has requested of and received from the Company additional documentation;

WHEREAS, the Parties have varying legal positions regarding the issues in this case;

WHEREAS, the Parties have engaged in discussions to determine if a settlement of the issues would be in their best interests; and

WHEREAS, following those discussions the Parties have each determined that their interests and the public interest would be best served by stipulating to a comprehensive settlement of all issues pending in the above-captioned case under the terms and conditions set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree to the following terms, which, if adopted by the Commission in its Order on the merits of this proceeding, will result in rates and terms and conditions of water and sewer service which are adequate, just, reasonable, nondiscriminatory, and supported by the evidence of record of this proceeding, and which will allow the Company the opportunity to earn a reasonable rate of return.

- 1. The Parties agree that no documentary evidence will be offered in the proceeding by the Parties other than: (1) the Application filed by the Company, (2) the exhibits to the testimony referenced in paragraph 2 hereinbelow, and (3) this Settlement Agreement with Exhibits "A"- "E" attached hereto.
- 2. The Parties stipulate and agree to include in the hearing record of this case the pre-filed testimony of Sharon G. Scott, Dawn M. Hipp and Bruce T. Haas (direct and rebuttal), including all exhibits attached to the pre-filed testimony, without objection, change, amendment, or cross-examination. Further, the parties agree to include in the hearing record of this case

JUSA WEC

without objection, change, amendment, or cross examination the testimony of witnesses Steven M. Lubertozzi, Converse A. Chellis, III and Dawn M. Hipp attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C".

- 3. The Parties stipulate and agree that the accounting exhibits prepared by ORS and attached hereto as Exhibit "D" fairly and reasonably set forth the Company's operating expenses, pro forma adjustments, depreciation rates, rate base, return on equity at a rate of 9.75%, revenue requirement, and rate of return on rate base.
- 4. The Parties stipulate and agree that the rate schedule attached hereto as Exhibit "E", including the rates and charges and terms and conditions of service, are fair, just, and reasonable. The Parties further stipulate and agree that the rates contained in said rate schedule are reasonably designed to allow the Company to provide service to its water and sewer customers at rates and terms and conditions of service that are fair, just and reasonable and the opportunity to recover the revenue required to earn a fair return on its investment..
- 5. ORS is charged by law with the duty to represent the public interest of South Carolina pursuant to S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B) (added by Act 175). S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B)(1) through (3) reads in part as follows:
  - ... 'public interest' means a balancing of the following:
  - (1) concerns of the using and consuming public with respect to public utility services, regardless of the class of customer;
  - (2) economic development and job attraction and retention in South Carolina; and
  - (3) preservation of the financial integrity of the State's public utilities and continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high quality utility services.

ORS believes the agreement reached between the Parties serves the public interest as defined above. The terms of this Settlement Agreement balance the concerns of the using public

Page 3 of 8

Just wis

while preserving the financial integrity of the Company. ORS also believes the Settlement Agreement promotes economic development within the State of South Carolina. The Parties stipulate and agree to these findings.

- 6. In its Application, USSC has requested an increase in annual revenues of \$821,030. As a compromise to positions advanced by ORS and USSC, the Parties stipulate and agree to an increase in annual revenues of \$617,064, said increase to be based upon the adjustments reflected in the testimony of Sharon G. Scott and the return on equity stipulated to by the Parties in Paragraph 7 below.
- 7. The Company and ORS recognize the value of resolving this proceeding by settlement rather than by litigation and, therefore stipulate and agree for purposes of settlement in this case that a return on equity of 9.75% is just and reasonable under the specific circumstances of this case in the context of a comprehensive settlement.
- 8. The Parties further stipulate and agree that the stipulated testimony of record, the Application, and this Settlement Agreement conclusively demonstrate the following: (i) the proposed accounting and pro forma adjustments and depreciation rates shown on Exhibit "D" hereto are fair and reasonable and should be adopted by the Commission for ratemaking and reporting purposes; (ii) a return on common equity of 9.75 %, which yields a fair rate of return for the Company of 8.37%, and an annual increase in revenues of approximately \$617,064, is fair, just, and reasonable when considered as a part of this stipulation and settlement agreement in its entirety; (iii) USSC's services are adequate and being provided in accordance with the requirements set out in the Commission's rules and regulations pertaining to the provision of water sewer and sewer service, and (iv) USSC's rates as proposed in this Settlement Agreement are fairly designed to equitably and reasonably recover the revenue requirement and are just and

Page 4 of 8

ANA WEC

reasonable and should be adopted by the Commission for bills rendered by the Company on and after January 19, 2006.

- The Parties further agree and stipulate that the rate schedule attached hereto as 9. Exhibit "E", including the rates and charges and the terms and conditions set forth therein, are just and reasonable, reasonably designed, and should be approved and adopted by the Commission. The Parties agree that Commission approval of the rate schedule provision concerning the pass-through to customers of purchased water and bulk sewer treatment service charges is effective only with respect to current purchased water and bulk sewer treatment charges imposed upon the Company and that any future increases in the amounts of such charges to be passed-through to affected customers may only be placed into effect by the Company upon compliance with the procedure established by the Commission in its Order No. 2002-285, Docket No. 2001-164-W/S.
- USSC agrees and stipulates that it will file with the Commission a performance 10. bond for water service in the amount of \$350,000.00 and a performance bond for sewer service in the amount of \$150,000.00.
- The Parties agree to advocate that the Commission accept and approve this 11. Settlement Agreement in its entirety as a fair, reasonable and full resolution of the abovecaptioned proceeding and to take no action inconsistent with its adoption by the Commission. The Parties further agree to cooperate in good faith with one another in recommending to the Commission that this Settlement Agreement be accepted and approved by the Commission. The Parties agree to use reasonable efforts to defend and support any Commission order issued approving this Settlement Agreement and the terms and conditions contained herein.

Page 5 of 8

- The Parties agree that signing this Settlement Agreement will not constrain, 12. inhibit, impair, or prejudice their arguments or positions held in other proceedings. If the Commission should decline to approve the agreement in its entirety, then any Party desiring to do so may withdraw from the Settlement Agreement without penalty or obligation.
  - This Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted according to South Carolina law. 13.
- The above terms and conditions fully represent the agreement of the Parties 14. Therefore, each Party acknowledges its consent and agreement to this Settlement Agreement by affixing its signature or by authorizing its counsel to affix his or her signature to this document where indicated below. Counsel's signature represents his or her representation that his or her client has authorized the execution of the agreement. Facsimile signatures and email signatures shall be as effective as original signatures to bind any party. This document may be signed in counterparts, with the various signature pages combined with the body of the document constituting an original and provable copy of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties agree that in the event any Party should fail to indicate its consent to this Settlement Agreement and the terms contained herein, then this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and will not be binding on any Party.

Page 6 of 8

Wesc

#### WE AGREE:

## Representing the Office of Regulatory Staff

mendy B. Cartledge

Wendy B. Cartledge, Esquire
Office of Regulatory Staff
Post Office Box 11263

1441 Main Street (Suite 300)

Columbia, SC 29211 Phone: (803) 737-0853 Fax: (803) 737-0800

E-mail: wcartle@regstaff.sc.gov

Page 7 of 8 use

#### WE AGREE:

Representing Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc.

Jøhn M.S. Hoefer, Esquire

Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A.

Post Office Box 8416

1022 Calhoun Street, Suite 302

Columbia, SC 29202-8416

Phone: (803) 252-3300 Fax: (803) 256-8062

E-mail: jhoefer@willoughbyhoefer.com

#### **BEFORE**

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### **SOUTH CAROLINA**

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS**

IN RE:	)	
Application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges and modifications to certain terms and conditions for the provision of water and sewer service.	) ) ) )	SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF STEVEN M. LUBERTOZZI

### WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND Q. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?

1

2

3

I graduated from Indiana University in 1990, and I am a Certified Public A. Accountant. I have been employed by Utilities, Inc., or "UI," since June of 2001. 4 Prior to joining Utilities, Inc., I had four years of public accounting/financial 5 analysis experience. In my work with Utilities, Inc. I have been involved in many 6 phases of rate-making in several regulatory jurisdictions. I have previously 7 testified before the South Carolina Public Service Commission and I have testified 8 before the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Indiana Utility Regulatory 9 Commission, the Florida Public Service Commission, the New Jersey Board of 10 Public Utilities, the North Carolina Utilities Commission, and the New Mexico 11 Public Regulation Commission. I am a member of the American Institute of 12 Certified Public Accountants. I have successfully completed the utility regulation 13

seminar sponsored by NARUC and other regulatory seminars sponsored by PricewaterhouseCoopers and the American Water Works Association.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES AT UTILITIES, INC.

A.

A. My responsibilities encompass all aspects of state utility commission regulation in sixteen of the seventeen states where UI subsidiaries operate (Georgia does not regulate water and sewer utilities). These duties include preparation of rate case applications, coordinating Commission audits, developing and delivering testimony before state utility regulatory bodies and obtaining approvals for territory expansions.

# Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE UTILITIES SERVICE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.?

Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc., or "USSC," is a wholly owned subsidiary of UI. USSC was formed in 2002 for the purpose of acquiring the assets of two South Carolina public utilities, Utilities of South Carolina, Inc., or "USCI," and South Carolina Water and Sewer, LLC, or "SCWS." As the Commission is aware, it approved these acquisitions on July 30, 2002 in its Order Number 2002-533 in Docket Number 2002-124-W/S. USSC maintains an operations and customer service office in West Columbia, South Carolina. Customer payments, meter readings and service orders are processed from this office. As is the case with the other UI operating subsidiaries in South Carolina regulated by the Commission, administrative functions such as regulatory services,

management, accounting, human resources, and data processing are provided by
UI from its office in Northbrook, Illinois.

### 3 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?

O.

A.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide support for the Settlement

Agreement entered into by the parties in the proceeding on December \_\_\_\_\_, 2005.

Specifically, I will be testifying as to the reasons why the 9.75% Return on Equity

("ROE") agreed to by the parties is a reasonable ROE for the Company in the

context of a comprehensive settlement of this specific case.

IN YOUR OPINION, IS THE SETTLEMENT ROE OF 9.75% SUPPORTABLE AS A REASONABLE ROE FOR THE COMPANY IN THE CONTEXT OF A COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?

Yes. In the context of the present settlement agreement, which disposes of all issues in the case, rates set based upon a 9.75% ROE can provide investors the opportunity to earn a reasonable return on our capital investment. The 9.75% ROE is a return that would be acceptable to investors based on a comprehensive settlement of all issues in this specific case. Based on my knowledge of capital markets, my understanding of its expectations related to regulated returns in the present economic context, and my monitoring of the returns granted to other utilities in states where Utilities, Inc. subsidiaries operate and the reaction of the capital market to those returns, I believe that 9.75% is a sufficient return which the capital market would expect in the context of a comprehensive settlement.

### 1 O. WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE BASIS FOR YOUR OPINION?

A. Yes. As a Director of Regulatory Affairs for the Company and other subsidiaries of Utilities, Inc. providing water and sewer utility services to nearly 300,000 customers in seventeen different states, I have the opportunity to participate in rate proceedings in a wide variety of regulatory environments. Accordingly, I am able to understand how the regulatory environment in a given state affects the level of investment in utilities.

## WHY IS A SETTLEMENT IMPORANT TO CAPITAL MARKETS?

Investors place great importance on rate case settlements. Whether rate cases are settled or litigated figures prominently in analysts' reports and evaluations of these cases and is a factor that strongly influences the capital market's assessment of the regulatory climate a utility operates in. The capital market sees settlements as an indication of a cooperative relationship between a utility and its regulators and the other participants in the regulatory process.

### 15 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

16 A. Yes, it does.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q.

A.

#### **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

### SOUTH CAROLINA

### **DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS**

	IN KI	E: )
	South	ication of Utilities Services of  Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of and charges for the provision of water  ce.  SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF CONVERSE A. CHELLIS, III
1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Converse A. Chellis, III. I am a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA")
3		and a principal in and the Director of Litigation Services and Property Tax Services for
4		Gamble Givens & Moody, LLC, a public accounting firm with offices in Charleston, Kiawah
5		Island, and Summerville, South Carolina. My office is located at 133 East First North Street,
6		Suite 9, Summerville, South Carolina 29483.
7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
8	A.	In 1965, I graduated from The Citadel, The Military College of South Carolina with a
9		bachelor's degree in business administration. I also have completed graduate level courses in
10		accounting at the University of Georgia. In addition, I have had a minimum of forty (40)
11		hours of continuing professional education ("CPE") each year since 1969, for a total of at
12		least 1,440 total CPE hours.
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR WORK HISTORY AND PROFESSIONAL
14		EXPERIENCE PRIOR TO YOUR CURRENT POSITION.

Upon graduation from The Citadel in 1966, I served in the United States Air Force and was assigned to the Auditor General's staff. In 1969, I joined Touche Ross (now Deloitte and Touche) and was a senior accountant. I formed Chellis and Chellis in 1972, and have been a name partner and managing partner in several accounting firms until 1998. In 1999, I merged my firm with Gamble Givens & Moody, where I am a principal and Director of Litigation Services.

#### ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS?

Q.

A.

A.

Yes. I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA"). From 1983-1985, I served on AICPA's continuing education executive committee, and in 1985 I served on the AICPA council.

I am also a member of the South Carolina Association of Certified Public Accountants ("SCACPA"). I served as Vice-President of the SCACPA's Coastal Chapter in 1977-78 and as President in 1978-79. In 1985 I served as the State President of the SCACPA, having previously served on the state level as Vice-President, Secretary/Treasurer, and Director. I have also been Chairman of the SCACPA's Committee on Continuing Professional Education, Chairman and trustee for the SCACPA's educational fund, and Chairman of the SCACPA's Committee on Cooperation with Governmental Agencies.

From 1986-1994, I was a member of the State Board of Accountancy, where I served as Secretary/Treasurer from 1988-1990 and Chairman from 1990-1993.

From 1982-1998, I was a member of Accounting Firms Associates, inc. I am also a past member of the American Society of Appraisers, and a current member of the American College of Forensic Examiners. In addition, I am a past associate in the Municipal Finance

1		Officers Association, and I have held various offices in the National Association of
2		Accountants. I am also active in the peer review process, which involves examination of the
3		work of other accountants and accounting firms to assure that quality controls are being
4		applied in conformance with the Quality Control Standards adopted by the AICPA.
5	Q.	HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN ANY PRESENTATIONS TO OTHER ACCOUNTANTS
6		OR AUDITORS?
7	A.	Yes. I have been a speaker and an instructor for the accounting profession on a
8		number of accounting topics, including topics related to generally accepted accounting
9		principles ("GAAP").
10	Q.	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS IN A SOUTH
11		CAROLINA COURT?
12	A.	Yes. I have been qualified as an expert witness in both the circuit and family courts
13		of South Carolina.
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?
15	A.	The purpose of my settlement testimony is to provide support for the recovery of the
16		investment of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc., which I will hereinafter refer to as
17		"USSC" or the "Company", in certain plant through the amortization of its cost as part of the
18		overall resolution of this case proposed by the parties and to support the adoption of the
19		Settlement Agreement as a whole.
20	Q.	IS THE AMORTIZATION OF PLANT INVESTMENT CONTEMPLATED BY THE
21		SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT APPROPRIATE?

I believe the amortization is appropriate primarily because of the unique circumstances of the case. As the Commission is aware, the Company's acquisition of the assets of South Carolina Water & Sewer, LLC and Utilities of South Carolina, Inc., was found by the Commission to be in the public interest in its order approving the transfer. In part, this finding was based upon the fact that these two companies were operating in a state of financial and regulatory distress. As Mr. Haas has noted in his testimony in this case, more than half of the water systems were out of compliance with environmental standards at the time of the transfer. The transfer approved in the Commission's order was also the result of arms-length bargaining between unaffiliated entities. Following the transfer, customers were beneficiaries of substantial upgrades in facilities and improvements in service. As the testimony of Office of Regulatory Staff, or ORS, witness Dawn Hipp recognizes, the Company has brought financial and operational stability to these distressed systems. The authorized transfer did not involve the acquisition of simply one or two distressed systems serving a small customer base. Rather, the authorized transfer involved the acquisition of more than eighty water and four sewer systems serving approximately seven thousand customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Given these unique facts, it is my opinion that the Company should be allowed to recover the investment it actually made to acquire these assets — an investment that was necessary for the Company to purchase the systems and thereafter make substantial improvements to plant and facilities for the benefit of customers. Permitting USSC to amortize the amount of its investment in plant and facilities that exceeded undepreciated original cost or book value is appropriate where a regulatory body seeks to divide fairly the

costs associated with plant investment between a utility's investors and its customers. This treatment has been accepted in 1 Robert L. Hahne, et al., *Accounting for Public Utilities*, § 4.04[2] at 4-12 (2005) and also in II Leonard L. Goodman *The Process of Ratemaking*, at 788 (1998). In the context of the comprehensive settlement proposed by the parties and given the unusual circumstances of the case, acceptance of the amortization as a compromise is appropriate.

# Q. IN YOUR OPINION, IS THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT A REASONABLE MEANS OF RESOLVING THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE?

9 A. Yes, it is.

O.

A.

#### WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR YOUR OPINION IN THIS REGARD?

I have several reasons for believing that the Settlement Agreement is a reasonable means by which to resolve the disputed issues in this case. First, one of the statutory duties of the Office of Regulatory Staff is to facilitate the resolution of disputed issues involving matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission. I think it incumbent upon the other parties in cases before the Commission, which in this proceeding is USSC, to work with ORS in good faith in an attempt to reach a settlement. I believe that the Settlement Agreement reflects a good faith effort on the part of ORS and the Company to meet their respective obligations in that regard.

Second, and as Mr. Lubertozzi mentions in his testimony in support of the Settlement Agreement, capital markets recognize the value of settlements in ratemaking cases. Additional investment resulting from favorable capital markets would be an enhancement to economic development in South Carolina.

Third, a settlement brings the matter to an end without delay and the uncertainty of further proceedings; this in turn permits ORS to focus its talents and resources on other matters within its area of responsibility and permits the Company to focus upon the continued improvement and expansion of its facilities and services for the benefit of its customers.

Finally, the amortization of plant investment contemplated by the Settlement Agreement is in the public interest because it recognizes the value customers receive from investment in distressed utility systems and provides encouragement for investment in distressed systems with reasonable assurance that the acquisition adjustment is recoverable over time. The Commission has control over the process as it must approve any transfer of assets prior to an acquisition occurring. Any public interest issues or fairness issues related to the purchase of plant assets may be addressed by the Commission during the approval process. This procedure provides protection against inflated or over priced affiliated transactions.

In summary, I have the unique perspective in this case of having compiled the value of the assets at transfer and having been informed of the improvements made to the system by USSC. The customers are much better off than before with USSC owning and operating these systems. The comprehensive settlement proposed by the parties in my opinion fairly balances the interest of the customers and the Company. I therefore respectfully request that the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement.

## DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?

22 A. Yes it does.

Q.

#### **BEFORE**

### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

### **SOUTH CAROLINA**

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS**

IN R	Œ:
Sout rates certa	lication of Utilities Services of th Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of and charges and modifications to in terms and conditions for the ision of water and sewer service.   SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF DAWN M. HIPP
Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
A.	My name is Dawn M. Hipp. My business address is 1441 Main Street, Suite 300,
	Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the State of South Carolina
	as a Program Specialist in the Water/Wastewater Department for the Office of
	Regulatory Staff ("ORS").
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
	PROCEEDING?
A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide a brief overview of the Settlement
	Agreement reached between ORS and Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc.
	("USSC") in this proceeding and to explain why this Settlement Agreement is in
	the public interest.
Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE SETTLEMENT
	AGREEMENT REACHED BY ALL PARTIES OF RECORD.

1	A.	Following extensive discussions, the parties have each determined that their
2		interests, as well as the interest of the public would be best served by settling all
3		pending issues in this proceeding.
4		It is the position of ORS and the other parties of record in this docket that the
5		Settlement Agreement, as presented to this Commission, is a fair, reasonable and
6		full resolution to all issues in this proceeding. Further, the Settlement Agreement
7		represents the public interest as it preserves the balance referred to in S.C. Code §
8		58-4-10:
9		'public interest' means a balancing of the following:
10 11 12 13		(1) Concerns of the using and consuming public with respect to public utility services, regardless of the class of customer;
14 15 16 17		(2) Economic development and job attraction and retention in South Carolina; and
18 19 20 21		(3) Preservation of the financial integrity of the State's public utilities and continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high quality utility services.
22		All parties agree that an increase in rates and charges is necessary for USSC to
23		provide its residential and commercial customers with safe and adequate water
24		and wastewater services. USSC has provided financial and operational stability to
25		the distressed water and sewer systems previously operated by Utilities of South
26		Carolina, Inc. and South Carolina Water & Sewer, LLC. While these customers
27		have benefited from the various upgrades and improvements made by USSC,
28		ORS had determined that USSC is currently operating under rates that do not
29		allow it to earn a fair return on its investment. The Settlement Agreement

provides a schedule of proposed rates, terms and conditions that are fair and 1 reasonable to both the customer and USSC and will allow USSC the opportunity 2 3 to earn a fair return on its investment. Specifically, USSC has agreed to reduce its rates, from those proposed in the original Application, in the areas of residential 4 water base facility charges and water usage charges. 5 Further, all parties agree that, if approved by the Public Service Commission 6 ("Commission"), the rate design of the stipulated pass-through provision balances 7 the public interest by allowing for full purchased water and sewer cost recovery 8 9 and published customer notice. As part of this Settlement Agreement, USSC has agreed to file with the Public 10 Service Commission a performance bond for water operations in the amount of 11 \$350,000.00 and a performance bond for sewer operations in the amount of 12 \$150,000.00. 13 As stated earlier, it is the position of ORS and USSC that this Settlement 14 Agreement is a fair, reasonable and full resolution to all issues in this proceeding 15 and I would request the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement as 16 17 presented today. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? 18 Q. This concludes my overview of the Settlement Agreement presented on behalf of 19 A.

20

21

ORS and USSC.

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rates of Return For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2004 Combined Operations

Description  Operating Revenues	(1) Per Company Books	(2) Accounting & Pro Forma Adjustments	(3) As Adjusted	(4) Effect of Proposed Increase	(5) After Proposed Increase
Service Revenue - Water	2,142,888	(1,344) (A)	0.444.544		
Service Revenue - Sewer	109,659	(2,550) (A)	2,141,544	750,809 (M)	2,892,353
Miscellaneous Revenues	80,466	(2,550) (A)	107,109	70,221 (M)	177,330
Uncollectible Accounts	(30,238)	0	80,466	0	80,466
	(00,200)		(30,238)	(11,002) (N)	(41,240)
Total Operating Revenues	2,302,775	(3,894)	2,298,881	810,028	3,108,909
Operating Expenses					
Operating & Maintenance Expenses	1,056,437	(21/ 712) (D)	044.704	_	
General & Administrative Expenses	479,641	(214,713) (B) 112,003 (C)	841,724	0	841,724
Depreciation & Amortization Expenses	208,711	99,505 (D)	591,644	0	591,644
Taxes Other Than Income	345,885	(21,661) (E)	308,216	0	308,216
Income Taxes	(21,019)	21,019 (F)	324,224	9,217 (O)	333,441
Interest on Customer Deposits	(21,010)	3,672 (G)	0	268,366 (P)	268,366
·	<u>-</u>	<u> 3,072</u> (G)	3,672	0	3,672
Total Operating Expenses	2,069,655	(175)	2,069,480	277,583	2,347,063
Total Operating Income	233,120	(3,719)	229,401	532,445	761,846
Customer Growth - Audit Exhibit SGS - 7	0	1,371	1,371	2,514	3,885
Net Income for Return	233,120	(2,348)	230,772	534,959	765,731
Original Cost Rate Base Gross Plant in Service	8,367,148	715,798 (H)	0.000.0.1		
Accumulated Depreciation	(719,490)		9,082,946	0	9,082,946
Net Plant in Service	7,647,658	(299,368) (I) 416,430	(1,018,858)	0	(1,018,858)
Cash Working Capital	192,010	(12,839) (J)	8,064,088	0	8,064,088
Water Service Corporation - Rate Base	55,561	(12,039) (J)	179,171	0	179,171
Plant Acquisition Adjustment	1,246,257	(1,246,257) (K)	55,561	0	55,561
Contributions in Aid of Construction	(28,686)	(1,240,237) (K) 0	0	0	0
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	(521,562)	0	(28,686)	0	(28,686)
Customer Deposits	(104,907)	(9,429) (L)	(521,562)	0	(521,562)
•	(101,001)	(3,423) (L)	(114,336)	0	(114,336)
Total Rate Base	8,486,331	(852,095)	7,634,236	0	7,634,236
Return on Rate Base	2.75%		3.02%	· •	10.03%

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rates of Return For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2004 Water Operations

Description Operating Revenues	(1) Per Company Books	(2) Accounting & Pro Forma Adjustments	(3) As Adjusted	(4) Effect of Proposed Increase	(5) After Proposed Increase
Service Revenue - Water	2 442 000	(4.644) (4)			
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,142,888	(1,344) (A)	2,141,544	750,809 (M)	2,892,353
Uncollectible Accounts	77,618	0	77,618	0	77,618
Chomodible Accounts	(28,802)	0	(28,802)	(10,061) (N)	(38,863)
Total Operating Revenues	2,191,704	(1,344)	2,190,360	740,748	2,931,108
Operating Expenses					
Operating & Maintenance Expenses	984,221	(216,997) (B)	767,224	0	767 004
General & Administrative Expenses	454,365	105,515 (C)	559,880	0	767,224
Depreciation & Amortization Expenses	202,280	91,630 (D)	293,910	0	559,880
Taxes Other Than Income	327,754	(20,473) (E)	307,281	8,428 (O)	293,910
Income Taxes	(20,021)	20,021 (F)	007,207	257,878 (P)	315,709 257,878
Interest on Customer Deposits	0	3,478 (G)	3,478	257,070 (F) 0	237,676 3,478
·	<del></del>	(0)	0,470		3,476
Total Operating Expenses	1,948,599	(16,826)	1,931,773	266,306	2,198,079
Total Operating Income	243,105	15,482	258,587	474,442	733,029
Customer Growth - Audit Exhibit SGS - 7	0	1,371	1,371	2,514	3,885
Net Income for Return	243,105	16,853	259,958	476,956	736,914
Original Cost Rate Base					
Gross Plant in Service	8,144,078	624.075 (LI)	0.760.050	•	
Accumulated Depreciation	(713,900)	624,975 (H)	8,769,053	0	8,769,053
Net Plant in Service	7,430,178	(283,591) (I) 341,384	(997,491)	0	(997,491)
Cash Working Capital	179,823	(13,935) (J)	7,771,562	0	7,771,562
Water Service Corporation - Rate Base	52,635	(13,935) (3)	165,888	0	165,888
Plant Acquisition Adjustment	1,180,579	(1,180,579) (K)	52,635 0	0	52,635
Contributions in Aid of Construction	(28,686)	(1,100,379) (K)	(28,686)	0	0
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	(493,677)	0	• • •	0	(28,686)
Customer Deposits	(99,378)	(8,932) (L)	(493,677)	0	(493,677)
1	(00,010)	(U,302) (L)	(108,310)	0	(108,310)
Total Rate Base	8,221,474	(862,062)	7,359,412	0	7,359,412
Return on Rate Base	2.96%		3.53%		10.01%

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rates of Return For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2004 Sewer Operations

<u>Description</u>	(1) Per Company Books	(2) Accounting & Pro Forma Adjustments	(3) As Adjusted	(4) Effect of Proposed Increase	(5) After Proposed Increase
Operating Revenues	Ψ	Ψ	Φ	\$	\$
Service Revenue - Sewer	109,659	(2,550) (A)	107.400	70.004 (1.1)	
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,848	(2,330) (A) 0	107,109	70,221 (M)	177,330
Uncollectible Accounts	(1,436)	0	2,848	0	2,848
	(1,430)		(1,436)	<u>(941)</u> (N)	(2,377)
Total Operating Revenues	111,071	(2,550)	108,521	69,280	177,801
Operating Expenses:					
Operating & Maintenance Expenses	72,216	0.004 (D)	74 500		
General & Administrative Expenses		2,284 (B)	74,500	0	74,500
Depreciation & Amortization Expenses	25,276	6,488 (C)	31,764	0	31,764
Taxes Other Than Income	6,431	7,875 (D)	14,306	0	14,306
Income Taxes	18,131	(1,188) (E)	16,943	789 (O)	17,732
Interest on Customer Deposits	(998)	998 (F)	0	10,488 (P)	10,488
interest on Customer Deposits	0	194_(G)	194	0	194
Total Operating Expenses	121,056	16,651	137,707	11,277	148,984
Total Operating Income	(9,985)	(19,201)	(29,186)	58,003	28,817
Customer Growth - Audit Exhibit SGS - 7	0	0	0	0	-
					0
Net Income for Return	(9,985)	(19,201)	(29,186)	58,003	28,817
Original Cost Rate Base					
Gross Plant in Service	000 070	00.000			
Accumulated Depreciation	<b>223,</b> 070	90,823 (H)	313,893	0	313,893
Net Plant in Service	(5,590)	(15,777) (I)	(21,367)	0	(21,367)
Cash Working Capital	217,480	75,046	292,526	0	292,526
Water Service Corporation - Rate Base	12,187	1,096 (J)	13,283	0	13,283
Plant Acquisition Adjustment	2,926	0	2,926	0	2,926
Contributions in Aid of Construction	65,678	(65,678) (K)	0	0	0
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	0	0	0	0	0
Customer Deposits	(27,885)	0	(27,885)	0	(27,885)
Total Rate Base	(5,529)	(497) (L)	(6,026)	0	(6,026)
- Tour Hade	264,857	9,967	274,824	0	274,824
Return on Rate Base	-3.77%		-10.62%		10.49%

Description	Combined	Water	Sewer
A) Service Revenues - As Adjusted	\$	\$	\$
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to adjust operating revenues to reflect current customers at current rates.</li> </ol>			
Per ORS	(3,894)	(1,344)	(2,550
Per USSC	(3,894)	(1,344)	(2,550
Total Service Revenues - Per ORS	(3,894)	(1,344)	(2,550
) Operating and Maintenance Expenses			
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to annualize operators' salaries. ORS annualized salaries using the actual wage increase as of 7/1/2005, excluding bonuses. USSC annualized salaries as of 12/31/04 for a 3.5% increase, excluding bonuses.</li> </ol>			
Per ORS	92,372	87,504	4,868
Per USSC	125,701	119,103	6,598
3. USSC proposes to increase Purchased Power expense by 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with the Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	7,407	6,767	640
4. ORS proposes to remove purchased water costs for pass- through treatment with the exception of six subdivisions that are not included in the pass-through. USSC proposes to remove total purchased water expense for pass-through treatment to its customers. The costs of purchased water would be passed directly to the customer without any mark- up of the price.			
Per ORS	(258,060)	(258,060)	0
Per USSC	(316,770)	(316,770)	0
5. USSC proposes to increase Maintenance and Repair expense by 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with the Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC -9-	13,715	11,678	2,037

<u>Description</u>	Combined	Water	C
	\$	\$	Sewer \$
6. USSC proposes to increase Maintenance Testing expense by 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			·
Per ORS	0	0	
Per USSC	2,091	1,738	353
5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	949	949	0
USSC proposes to increase Chemicals expense by 5 284% to reflect inflation in accordance with Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	737	698	39
ORS and USSC propose to increase transportation expense for two new employees.			
Per ORS	9,287	8,798	489
Per USSC	9,287	8,798	489
ORS and USSC propose to increase Operating Expense Charged to Plant to reflect the increase in salaries, taxes, and benefits for operators. ORS and USSC allocated this amount based on USSC's capitalization ratio of 50.95%.			
Per ORS	(58,312)	(55,239)	(3,073)
Per USSC	(82,719)	(78,360)	(4,359)
Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses - Per ORS		<u> </u>	(4,358)
TAPONOG 1 CI ONO	(214,713)	(216,997)	2,284

Description	Combined	Water	Sewer
<del></del>	\$	\$	\$
(C) General and Administrative Expenses			
11. ORS and USSC propose to annualize office salaries. ORS annualized salaries using the pay increase as of 7/1/2005, excluding bonuses. USSC annualized salaries as of 12/31/04 for a 3.5% increase, excluding bonuses.			
Per ORS	12,790	12,116	674
Per USSC	11,880	11,259	621
12. USSC proposes to increase Office Supplies and Other Office expense by 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upon economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.	·		
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	6,118	5,795	323
13. ORS and USSC propose to amortize current rate case expenses over a three-year period. ORS proposes to amortize rate case expenses as of November 2005 of \$160,458 over a two-year period for an adjustment of \$80,229. USSC proposes to amortize total estimated rate case expenses of \$325,569 over a three-year period for an adjustment of \$108,523.			
Per ORS	80,229	75,415	4,814
Per USSC	108,523	102,804	5,719
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to annualize Pension and Other Benefits expense associated with the wage increase.</li> </ol>			N.
Per ORS	18,984	17,984	1,000
Per USSC	23,036	21,828	1,208
15. USSC proposes to increase Rent expense by 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordance with Bureau of Labor Statistic Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not propose to use this method to adjust expenses as these adjustments would be based upo economic forecasts rather than known and measurable transactions.			
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	758	718	40

<u>Description</u>	Combined	Water	Sewer
16. USSC proposes to increase Insurance to reflect inflation in accordance with Statistic's Consumer Price Index for Maintenance. ORS does not propose adjust expenses as these adjustment economic forecasts rather than known transactions.	Bureau of Labor Water and Sewerage e to use this method to ts would be based upon	\$	\$
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	3,964	3,755	209
17. USSC proposes to increase Office US.284% to reflect inflation in accordant Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does not method to adjust expenses as these abased upon economic forecasts rather measurable transactions.	nce with Bureau of ex for Water and not propose to use this adjustments would be		
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	1,814	1,719	95
18. USSC proposes to increase Miscellar 5.284% to reflect inflation in accordar Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Inde Sewerage Maintenance. ORS does remethod to adjust expenses as these a based upon economic forecasts rather measurable transactions.	nce with Bureau of ex for Water and not propose to use this adjustments would be		
Per ORS	0	0	0
Per USSC	1,110	1,051	59
Total General and Administrative E		4	
<b>) B</b>	112,003	105,515	6,488
<ol> <li>Depreciation and Amortization Expenses</li> <li>ORS and USSC propose to annualize using adjusted plant in service as of N Audit Exhibit SGS-5 for the details of the service.</li> </ol>	Depreciation expense lovember 2005. See		
Per ORS	0.644	7.407	
1 61 0130	9,614	7,137	2,477

Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS 99,505 91,630 7,875  E) Taxes Other Than Income  22 ORS and USSC propose to remove 2003 real estate taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)		<u>Description</u>	Combined	Water	Sewer
Per USSC 274 274 274 0  21. ORS and USSC propose to adjust for the amortization of the Plant Acquisition Adjustment. ORS's Plant Acquisition Adjustment was computed using ORS's restated plant and accumulated depreciation at acquisition. The amortization amounted to \$115,937 lies the per book amount of \$25,964 for an adjustment of \$89,973. See Audit Exhibit SGS - 12 for details. USSC proposes an amortization based on its restated accumulated depreciation using a 1.50% depreciation rate.  Per ORS 89,973 84,575 5,398 Per USSC (24,175) (22,901) (1,274)  Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per 99,505 91,630 7,875  E) Taxes Other Than Income  22. ORS and USSC propose to remove 2003 real estate taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (27,096) (25,668) (1,428) Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428) Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428) Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (9,495) (8,995) (500) Per USSC (9,838) (1,428)  ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 771/2005 USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/21/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390	20	Contibutions In of Aid Construction as of December 31,	\$	\$	\$
21. ORS and USSC propose to adjust for the amortization of the Plant Acquisition Adjustment. ORS's Plant Acquisition Adjustment was computed using ORS's restated plant and accumulated depreciation at acquisition. The amortization amounted to \$115,937 less the per book amount of \$25,964 for an adjustment of \$89,973. See Audit Exhibit SGS - 12 for details. USSC proposes an amortization based on its restated accumulated depreciation using a 1.50% depreciation rate.  Per ORS  Per USSC  (24,175)  (22,901)  (1,274)  Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS  Per USSC  (24,175)  (22,901)  (1,274)  Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS  Per USSC  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  Per USSC  (27,096)  (28,995)  (500)  Per USSC  (24 ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 771/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 1271/2004.  Per ORS  7,406  7,016  390		Per ORS	(82)	(82)	0
Plant Acquisition Adjustment. ORS's Plant Acquisition Adjustment was computed using ORS's restated plant and accumulated depreciation at acquisition. The amortization amounted to \$115,937 less the per book amount of \$25,964 for an adjustment of \$89,973. Sea Audit Exhibit SGS - 12 for details. USSC proposes an amortization based on its restated accumulated depreciation using a 1.50% depreciation rate.  Per ORS  89,973  84,575  5,398  Per USSC  (24,175)  (22,901)  (1,274)  Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS  99,505  91,630  7,875  5. Taxes Other Than Income  222  ORS and USSC propose to remove 2003 real estate taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  Per USSC  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  Per USSC  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  Per USSC  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS  (9,495)  (8,995)  (500)  Per USSC  O  O  O  O  ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 711/2005  USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 1231/2004.  Per ORS  7,406  7,016  390		Per USSC	274	274	0
Per USSC (24,175) (22,901) (1,274)  Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  Per USSC (27,096) (2	21.	Plant Acquisition Adjustment. ORS's Plant Acquisition Adjustment was computed using ORS's restated plant and accumulated depreciation at acquisition. The amortization amounted to \$115,937 less the per book amount of \$25,964 for an adjustment of \$89,973. See Audit Exhibit SGS - 12 for details. USSC proposes an amortization based on its restated accumulated depreciation using a 1.50%			
(24,175) (22,901) (1,274)   Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses - Per ORS   99,505   91,630   7,875     Taxes Other Than Income		Per ORS	89,973	84,575	5,398
ORS         99,505         91,630         7,875           3. Taxes Other Than Income         22. ORS and USSC propose to remove 2003 real estate taxes from the test year expenses.           Per ORS         (27,096)         (25,668)         (1,428)           Per USSC         (27,096)         (25,668)         (1,428)           23. ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.         Per ORS         (9,495)         (8,995)         (500)           Per USSC         0         0         0         0           24 ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.         7,406         7,016         390           Per ORS         7,406         7,016         390		Per USSC	(24,175)	(22,901)	(1,274)
22. ORS and USSC propose to remove 2003 real estate taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  Per USSC  (27,096)  (25,668)  (1,428)  23. ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS  (9,495)  (8,995)  (500)  Per USSC  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  Per USSC  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O			99,505	91,630	7,875
from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  23. ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (9,495) (8,995) (500)  Per USSC 0 0 0 0  24. ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005.  USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390	<u>=) T</u>	axes Other Than Income			
Per USSC (27,096) (25,668) (1,428)  23. ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS (9,495) (8,995) (500)  Per USSC 0 0 0 0  24. ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390	22.				
23. ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.  Per ORS  Per USSC  ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS  7,406  7,016  390		Per ORS	(27,096)	(25,668)	(1,428)
the test year expenses.  Per ORS  (9,495)  (8,995)  (500)  Per USSC  0  0  0  24. ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005.  USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS  7,406  7,016  390		Per USSC	(27,096)	(25,668)	(1,428)
Per USSC 0 0 0 0  24 ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390	<b>23</b> .	ORS proposes to remove 2003 personal property taxes from the test year expenses.			
24. ORS adjusted payroll taxes for the annualized payroll adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390		Per ORS	(9,495)	(8,995)	(500)
adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages as of 12/31/2004.  Per ORS 7,406 7,016 390		Per USSC	0	0	0
Por USSC 7,010 390		adjustment based on the wage increase as of 7/1/2005. USSC proposes to annualize payroll taxes based on wages			
Per USSC 10,880 10,309 571		Per ORS	7,406	7,016	390
		Per USSC	10,880	10,309	571

	Description	Combined	Water	Sewer
2	<ol> <li>ORS proposes to adjust utility/commission taxes and gross receipts taxes for the as adjusted revenue.</li> </ol>	\$	\$	\$
	Per ORS	7,524	7,174	350
	Per USSC	0	0	0
	Total Taxes Other Than Income	(21,661)	(20,473)	(1,188)
<u>(F) I</u>	ncome Taxes - As Adjusted			
26	ORS and USSC propose to compute income taxes after accounting and pro forma adjustments using a state rate of 5% and a federal rate of 34%. See Audit Exhibit SGS-6 for details.			
	Per ORS	21,019	20,021	998
	Per USSC	(57,280)	110,855	(168,135)
<u>(G) I</u>	nterest on Customer Deposits			
27.	ORS proposes to include annualized interest on customer deposits using the test year ending balance and the most recently PSC approved interest rate of 3.50%.			
	Per ORS	3,672	3,478	194
	Per USSC	0	0	0
(H) G	ross Plant In Service			
28.	ORS proposes to restate plant in service. ORS proposes to remove organization costs previously carried on the books of the acquired companies.			
28.	remove organization costs previously carried on the books of	(421,361)	(399,156)	(22,205)
	remove organization costs previously carried on the books of the acquired companies.	( <b>421,361)</b> 0	(399,156) 0	(22,205) 0
29.	remove organization costs previously carried on the books of the acquired companies.  Per ORS			(22,205) 0
29.	remove organization costs previously carried on the books of the acquired companies.  Per ORS  Per USSC  ORS and USSC propose to include plant additions and			·

Description	Combined \$	Water	Sewer
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to include plant for work orders completed as of September 2005.</li> </ol>	*	•	•
Per ORS	848,978	789,890	59,088
Per USSC	1,553,551	1,441,314	112,237
31. ORS and USSC propose to adjust for plant retirements associated with the completed work orders as of September 2005. Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation are reflected in Adjustment No. 35.			
Per ORS	(301,242)	(301,242)	0
Per USSC	(413,839)	(413,839)	0
32. ORS and USSC propose to remove an elevated storage tank which is no longer used by the Company. ORS removes net book value of \$75,053 (\$102,188 for plant less \$27,134 accumulated depreciation). USSC also removes the net book value amount of \$110,563.			
Per ORS	(75,053)	(75,053)	0
Per USSC	(110,563)	(110,563)	0
33. ORS and USSC propose an extraordinary retirement of wells, structures, and storage tanks. ORS removes the net book value of \$21,677 (\$30,054 for plant less \$8,377 for accumulated depreciation). USSC removes the net book value of (\$30,293).			
Per ORS	(21,677)	(21,677)	0
Per USSC	(30,293)	(30,293)	0
34. ORS and USSC propose to adjust for excess book value which represents an increase in USSC's paid in capital over the investment in the utility.			
Per ORS	963	912	51
Per USSC	963	912	51
Total Gross Plant In Service - Per ORS	715,798	624,975	90,823

(I) Accumulated Depreciation  35. ORS proposes to adjust accumulated depreciation for the	\$	\$	
35. ORS proposes to adjust accumulated depreciation for the			\$
additional plant and capitalized time. ORS also proposes to restate accumulated depreciation using rates recommended by ORS's Water and Wastewater Department for the acquired companies and to adjust accumulated depreciation for the test year at the 1.50% depreciation rate. See Audit Exhibit SGS - 13. USSC proposes to adjust accumulated depreciation for planned additional capital investments, invoiced and estimated additions, capitalized time and the restatement of accumulated depreciation to a 1.5% depreciation rate.			
Per ORS	(299,368)	(283,591)	(15,777)
Per USSC =	382,333	384,305	(1,972)
(J) Cash Working Capital			
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to adjust Cash Working Capital based on pro forma expenses.</li> </ol>			
Per ORS	(12,839)	(13,935)	1,096
Per USSC	(10,301)	(12,059)	1,758
(K) Plant Acquisition Adjustment			
37. ORS proposes to remove the unamortized balance of (\$1,246,257) for the Plant Acquisition Adjustment from rate base. ORS does propose to allow the amortization of the Plant Acquisition Adjustment in operating expenses. This methodology allows for a sharing of the expenses between the ratepayer and USSC shareholders. USSC proposes a reduction of (\$1,131,464) to the per book Plant Acquisition Adjustment amount of 1,246,257, resulting in a Plant Acquisition Adjustment amount of \$114,793.			
Per ORS	(1,246,257)	(1,180,579)	(65,678)
Per USSC =	(1,131,464)	(1,071,836)	(59,628)
(L) Customer Deposits			
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to remove Accrued Interest owed to customers for their deposits.</li> </ol>			
Per ORS	(9,429)	(8,932)	(497)
Per USSC	(9,429)	(8,932)	(497)

Description	Combined	Water	Sewer
(M) Service Revenues - Proposed Increase	\$	\$	\$
39. ORS and USSC propose an increase in service revenues.			
Per ORS	821,030	750,809	70,221
Per USSC	821,130	750,809	70,321
(N) Uncollectible Accounts - Proposed Increase			
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to adjust Uncollectible Accounts expense for the proposed revenue.</li> </ol>			
Per ORS	(11,002)	(10,061)	(941)
Per USSC	(11,039)	(10,097)	(942)
(O) Taxes Other Than Income - Proposed Increase			
<ol> <li>ORS and USSC propose to adjust utility/commission tax and gross receipts taxes for the net proposed revenue.</li> </ol>			
` Per ORS	9,217	8,428	789
Per USSC	8,752	8,003	749
(P) Income Taxes - Proposed Increase			
42. ORS and USSC propose to adjust income taxes for the proposed increase using a state tax rate of 5% and a federal tax rate of 34%.			
Per ORS	268,366	257,878	10,488
Per USSC	659,765	65,736	594,029

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Depreciation and Amortization Adjustment Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

Gross Plant	Combined	Water	Sewer
Gross Plant at 12-31-2004 - Per USSC	\$	\$	\$
Less: Organization Costs for Acquired Companies	8,367,148	8,144,078	223,070
Gross Plant at 12-31-2004 - Per ORS Restatement	(421,361)	(399,155)	(22,206)
Tel Old Restatement	7,945,787	7,744,923	200,864
Add:			
General Ledger Additions and Capitalized Time After Test Year	605 100		
Pro Forma Projects	685,190	631,301	53,889
Total Gross Plant In Service -	848,978	789,890	59,088
	9,479,955	9,166,114	313,841
Less:			
Land	(230.050)	(00 ( 450)	
Organization Expense	(239,058)	(226,459)	(12,599)
Franchise Fees	(177,153)	(163,583)	(13,570)
Pro forma project retirements	(6,857)	(6,857)	0
Elevated Storage Tank	(301,242)	(301,242)	0
Extraordinary Retirement	(102,188)	(102,188)	0
Computers	(30,054)	(30,054)	0
Vehicles	(156,409)	(148,166)	(8,243)
Fully Depreciated Assets (Computers Excluded)	(299,086)	(283,324)	(15,762)
Net Plant —	(48,774)	(46,203)	(2,571)
	8,119,134	7,858,038	261,096
Plant Depreciation @ 1.5% (66.67 years)	121,787	117,871	3,916
Computers			-,,,,
Less: Fully Depreciated Computers	156,409	148,166	8,243
Net Computers —	(145,564)	(137,893)	(7,671)
	10,845	10,273	572
Computer Depreciation @ 25% (4 years)	0.74		
- C ( ) - C (	2,711	2,568	143
Vehicles	200.004		
Less: Fully Depreciated Vehicles	299,086	283,324	15,762
Net Vehicles —	(60,469)	(57,282)	(3,187)
	238,617	226,042	12,575
Vehicle Depreciation @ 25% (4 years)	59,655	56,511	3,144
Excess Book Value Amortization Expense			-,,
Extraordinary Depreciation for Test Year	15	14	1
Annualized Depreciation Expense Allocated from WSC	2,168	2,168	0
Depreciation Expense Allocated from WSC	3,321	3,146	175
— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	3,059	2,898	161
Total Depreciation			
Less: Per Book Depreciation	192,716	185,176	7,540
ORS Depreciation Adjustment	(183,102)	(178,039)	(5,063)
	9,614	7,137	2,477

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Depreciation and Amortization Adjustment Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

Contributions in Aid of Construction Gross CIAC at 12-31-2004 CIAC Amortization @ 1.5% (66.67 years) Less: Per Book Amortization of CIAC	Combined \$ 29,077 (436) (354)	<u>Water</u> \$ 29,077 (436) (354)	<u>Sewer</u> \$ 0 0
ORS Amortization Adjustment USSC Amortization Adjustment	(82) 274	(82)	0

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Computation of Income Taxes For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

		As Adjusted	
	Combined Operations	Water Operations	Sewer Operations
	\$	\$	\$
Operating Revenue As Adjusted	2,298,881	2,190,360	108,521
Less: Operating Expenses As Adjusted	(2,069,480)	(1,931,773)	(137,707)
Net Operating Income Before Taxes	229,401	258,587	(29,186)
Less: Annualized Interest Expense	(310,732)	(299,546)	(11,186)
Taxable Income - State	(81,331)	(40,959)	(40,372)
State Income Taxes @ 5%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
State Income Taxes	0	0	0
Taxable Income - Federal	(81,331)	(40,959)	(40,372)
Federal Tax Rate @ 34%	34.00%	34.00%	34.00%
Total Federal Income Taxes	0	0	0
Total Federal and State Income Taxes	0	0	0
Less: Income Taxes Per Book	(21,019)	(20,021)	(998)
Adjustment	21,019	20,021	998

_	Af	ter Proposed Increase	
_	Combined	Water	Sewer
_	Operations	<b>Operations</b>	Operations
Operating Revenue After Proposed Increase	3,108,909	2,931,108	177,801
Operating Expenses After Proposed Increase	2,078,697	1,940,201	138,496
Net Operating Income Before Taxes	1,030,212	990,907	39,305
Less: Annualized Interest Expense	(310,732)	(299,546)	(11,186)
Taxable Income - State	719,480	691,361	28,119
State Income Taxes @ 5%	35,974	34,568	1,406
Taxable Income - Federal	683,506	656,793	26,713
Federal Income Taxes - @ 34%	232,392	223,310	9,082
Total State and Federal Income Taxes	268,366	257.878	10,488
Less: Income Taxes As Adjusted	0	0	0
Adjustment	268,366	257,878	10,488

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Customer Growth Computation Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

Combined Operations:			(1)	(2) Effect of	(3)
Description			As Adjusted	Proposed Increase	After Increase
Water Customer Growth			\$ 1,371	\$ 2,514	\$ 3,885
Sewer Customer Growth			0	0	0
Combined Customer Growth			1,371	2,514	3,885
Number of Customers:  Beginning Ending Average  Water Operations:	7,105 7,178 7,142	Forme	ula: Ending - Average = Average	<del>36</del> = 7,142	0.50%
Net Operating Income			258,587	474,442	733,029
Growth Factor			0.53%	0.53%	0.53%
Customer Growth			1,371	2,514	3,885
Number of Customers:  Beginning Ending Average  Sewer Operations:	6,730 6,802 6,766	Formu	ila: Ending - Average = Average	<u>36</u> = 6,766	0.53%
•					
Net Operating Income			(29,186)	58,003	28,817
Growth Factor		,	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Customer Growth		:	0	0	0
Number of Customers:  Beginning Ending Average	375 376 376	Formu E	la: Ending - Average ≃ Average	0 =	0.00%

Note: Combined Customer Growth equals Water Customer Growth since Sewer Customer Growth equals -0-. ORS used beginning customers at 01-01-2004 and ending customers at 09-30-2005.

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Cash Working Capital Allowance For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

	Combined Operations	Water Operations	Sewer Operations
Operating and Maintenance - As Adjusted General and Administrative - As Adjusted	\$ 841,724 <u>591,644</u>	\$ 767,224 559,880	\$ 74,500 31,764
Total Expenses for Computation	1,433,368	1,327,104	106,264
45-Day Allowance (1/8 Rate)	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%
Computed Cash Working Capital - As Adjusted	179,171	165,888	13,283
Cash Working Capital - Per Books	192,010	179,823	12,187
Cash Working Capital Adjustment - ORS	(12,839)	(13,935)	1,096
Cash Working Capital Adjustment - USSC	(10,301)	(12,059)	1,758

Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Return On Common Equity Capital Structure at December 31, 2004

		:						
		As Adjusted-	sted		After	After Proposed Increase	ease	
				Income				Income
	Rate	Embedded	Overall	For	Rate	Embedded	Overall	For
Ratio	Base	Cost/Return	Cost/Return	Return	Base	Cost/Return	Cost/Return Cost/Return	Return
%	ઝ	%	%	↔	s	%	%	ક્ક
55.91%	4,268,301	7.28%	4.07%	310,732	4,268,301	7.28%	4.07%	310,732
4.09%	3,365,935	-2.38%	-1.05%	(79,960)	3,365,935	13.52%	5.96%	454,999
100.00%	7,634,236		3.02%	230,772	7,634,236		10.03%	765,731
		Water	- I		i d	:		
		As Adjusted	ISIedDelsi		AITEL	Atter Proposed Increase-	ease	
				Income				Income
	Rate	Empedded	Overall	For	Rate	Embedded	Overall	For
Ratio	Base	Cost/Return	Cost/Return	Return	Base	Cost/Return	Cost/Return	Return
%	↔	%	%	<del>\$</del>	↔	%	%	s
55.91%	4,114,647	7.28%	4.07%	299,546	4,114,647	7.28%	4.07%	299,546
%60.1	3,244,765	-1.22%	-0.54%	(39,588)	3,244,765	13.48%	5.94%	437,368
100.00%	7,359,412		3.53%	259,958	7,359,412		10.01%	736,914

	Income	For	Retnm	s	11,186	17,631	28,817
ase	:	Overall	Cost/Return	%	4.07%	6.42%	10.49%
After Proposed Increase	:	Empedded	Cost/Return Cost/Return	%	7.28%	14.55%	H
After F		Kate	Base	s	153,654	121,170	274,824
	Income _	P.	Return	s	11,186	(40,372)	(29,186)
r sted	:	Overall	Cost/Return	%	4.07%	-14.69%	-10.62%
Sewer As Adjusted	1	Embedded Overall	Cost/Return	%	7.28%	-33.32%	11
	ć	Kate	Base	क	153,654	121,170	274,824
			Ratio	%	55.91%	44.09%	100.00%
	11111	Capital	Structure	₩	112,803,215	88,963,597	201,766,812
			Description		Long-Term Debt	Common Equity	Totals =

Used Capital Structure of Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiaries @ 12-31-2004

# Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Income Statement Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

	Combined	Water	Sewer
	\$	\$	\$
Operating Revenues			
Service Revenues - Water	2,142,888	2,142,888	0
Service Revenues - Sewer	109,659	0	109,659
Miscellaneous Revenues	80,466	77,618	2,848
Uncollectible Accounts	(30,238)	(28,802)	(1,436)
Total Operating Revenues	2,302,775	2,191,704	111,071
Operating Expenses			
Maintenance Expenses			
Salaries and Wages	479,180	453,927	05.050
Purchased Power	140,181	128,071	25,253
Purchased Sewer & Water	316,770	316,770	12,110
Maintenance and Repair	259,549	221,003	0
Maintenance Testing	39,576	32,898	38,546
Meter Reading	17,968	•	6,678
Chemicals	13,952	17,968 13,217	0
Transportation	65,009	61,583	735
Operating Exp. Charged to Plant	(304,457)	(288,412)	3,426
Outside Services - Other	28,709		(16,045)
Total	1,056,437	27,196 984,221	1,513
10,00	1,000,407	904,221	72,216
General Expenses			
Salaries and Wages	112,917	106,966	5,951
Office Supplies & Other Office Exp.	115,776	109,675	6,101
Regulatory Commission Exp.	21	20	0,701
Pension & Other Benefits	106,211	100,614	5,597
Rent	14,350	13,594	756
Insurance	75,020	71,066	3,954
Office Utilities	34,334	32,525	1,809
Miscellaneous	21,012	19,905	1,107
<u>Total</u>	479,641	454,365	25,276
Depreciation	183,102	178,039	5,063
Extraordinary Retirement	25,963	24,595	1,368
Taxes Other Than Income	345,885	327,754	18,131
Income Taxes - Federal	(21,166)	(20,161)	(1,005)
Income Taxes - State	147	140	7
Amortization of ITC	0	0	0
Amortization of CIAC	(354)	(354)	0
<u>Total</u>	533,577	510,013	23,564
Total Operating Expenses	2,069,655	1,948,599	121.056
	2,000,000	1,070,000	121,056
Net Operating Income	233,120	243,105	(9,985)
Net Income for Return	233,120	243,105	(9,985)

#### Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Balance Sheet December 31, 2004

	\$ Assets	\$	\$
Plant In Service Water Sewer	7,811,997 204,472		
Total Accumulated Depreciation - Water Accumulated Depreciation - Sewer	(1,614,644)	8,016,469	
Total Net Utility Plant	(25,279)	(1,639,923)	6,376,546
Plant Acquisition Adjustment - Water Plant Acquisition Adjustment - Sewer	1,180,579 65,678		
Total  Construction Work In Process - Water	625,872		1,246,257
Construction Work In Process - Sewer Total	538		626,410
Current Assets Cash Accounts Receivable - Net	0 374,157		
Other Current Assets Total	4,133		378,290
Deferred Charges			35,949
<u>Total Assets</u>			8,663,452
Conital Stock and Databased Four	Liabilities and Other Credit	<u>'s</u>	
Capital Stock and Retained Earnings Common Stock and Paid In Capital Retained Earnings		5,716,429 234,902	
Total			5,951,331
Current and Accrued Liabilities Accounts Payable - Trade Taxes Accrued		38,889 0	
Customer Deposits Customer Deposits - Interest A/P - Associated Companies		104,906 9,429 2,008,649	
Total		2,000,049	2,161,873
Advances In Aid of Construction Water Sewer		0	
Total		0	0
Contributions In Aid of Construction Water		28,686	
Sewer Total		0	28,686
Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Unamortized ITC		0	,
Deferred Tax - Federal Deferred Tax - State		520,934 628	
Total			521,562
Total Liabilities and Other Credits			8,663,452

Exhibit "D" Page 21 of 26

5.4			0	Note # 1:	D	A
Date	Description	Amount	Service Life	Depr. Rate	Depr. Expense	Accum. Depreciation
Acquired	Description	\$		%	\$	S S
	US UTILITIES	-	years	70	Φ	Φ
25-Aug-95	MQ Computer System	2,041	6	16.67%	0	2,041
-	Computer System	1,310	6	16.67%	ő	1,310
-	MQ Computer System	2,862	6	16.67%	Ö	2,862
	Plotter Printer	8,503	6	16.67%	0	8,503
05-Oct-95		2,114	6	16.67%	0	2,114
	MQ Computer	1,102	6	16.67%	0	1,102
	Software Program	656	6	16.67%	0	656
	Pentium Computer	2,013	6	16.67%	Ö	2,013
-	Scanning Equip	679	6	16.67%	Ö	679
	Scanning Equip	543	6	16.67%	Ö	543
	Pentium Computer	1,695	6	16.67%	Ö	1,695
	Hawkins Software	4,500	6	16.67%	750	4,500
	AS400 System	79,286	6	16.67%	13,217	79,286
	Hayes Optima Modem	255	6	16.67%	43	255
	Sharp PP Laser Fax	1,076	6	16.67%	179	1,076
	IBM Selectric	263	6	16.67%	44	263
•	Richo Fax	2,228	6	16.67%	371	2,228
	Fax Machine	891	6	16.67%	149	745
•	Computer Equip	6,099	6	16.67%	1,017	5,085
	Fax/Printer	839	6	16.67%	140	700
	Computer Eqipment	16,300	6	16.67%	2,717	13,585
-	Fax Machine	2,343	6	16.67%	391	1,955
	Computer Equipment	1,079	6	16.67%	180	900
	Computer Equipment	1,370	6	16.67%	228	1,140
	Computer Equipment	3,046	6	16.67%	508	2,540
	Computers	8,353	6	16.67%	1,392	5,568
09-Jun-00		1,143	6	16.67%	191	573
	Computer	1,349	6	16.67%	225	675
	Conference Table	843	15	6.67%	0	0.0
	Light Fixtures	389	15	6.67%	ő	ő
06-Oct-97	•	927	15	6.67%	62	372
09-Oct-97		1,827	15	6.67%	122	732
	Office Furniture	1,550	15	6.67%	103	515
16-Jun-98		661	15	6.67%	44	220
	Office Furniture	2,480	15	6.67%	165	825
	Office Furniture	4,047	15	6.67%	270	1,350
	Office Furniture	687	15	6.67%	46	230
	Office F&F	1,152	15	6.67%	77	308
10-0011-88	2 Disposed Assets	(1,232)		0.07%	0	0
12, lun-09	1998 Malibu	14,586		16.67%	0	0
	1998 Lumina	21,500		16.67%	3,584	17,920
15-Jun-99		20,551	6	16.67%	3,426	13,704
10-3011-88	1 Disposed Asset	(14,586)		0	3,420	13,704
Total US U	TILITIES	209,320	-		29,641	180,768

Note # 1: Depreciation Rates Recommended by ORS's Water and Wastewater Department

Exhibit "D" Page 22 of 26

				Note # 1:		
Date			Service	Depr.	Depr.	Accum.
Acquired	Description	Amount	Life	Rate	Expense	Depreciation
		\$	years	%	\$	\$
	UTILITIES OF SOUTH CAROLINA					
	Type Writer	259	6	16.67%	0	259
17-Feb-97	Computers	735	6	16.67%	123	735
01-Jun-97	Computers	1,477	6	16.67%	246	1,477
01-Jan-85	Land	10,185	0	0	0	0
01-Jan-85	Land	1,000	0	0	0	0
20-Dec-94		681	0	0	Ō	Ö
30-Jun-97		1,666	0	0	0	Ō
	Tanks & Standpipes	43,144	35	2.86%	1,234	30,850
	Water System	172,912	45	2.22%	3,839	88,297
	Wells Crest	28,518	30	3.33%	950	21,850
30-Jun-80	Mains Crest	172,222	35	2.86%	4,926	113,298
	Water Meters	1,634	20	5.00%	0	1,634
	Water System	2,250	45	2.22%	50	950
	Water System	2,250	45	2.22%	50	950
	Water System	22,500	45	2.22%	500	9,000
	Sewer System	22,500	45	2.22%	500	9,000
	Water Meters	1,200	20	5.00%	60	1,020
01-Oct-86		3,350	10	10.00%	0	3,350
	Sewer Equip	2,917	15	6.67%	0	2,917
	Water System	1,000	45	2.22%	22	352
	Lawn Mowers	452	12	8.33%	0	452
	Water System	3,500	45	2.22%	78	1,248
	5HP Motor	943	20	5.00%	47	611
	Water Meters	425	20	5.00%	21	273
	Control Box	173	25	4.00%	7	91
	RockWLMeter	199	66.67	1.50%	3	39
	3HP Control Box	219	25	4.00%	9	117
	Davis Meters	1,450	20	5.00%	73	949
01-Dec-90		391	20	5.00%	20	260
	Daves Water System	148,675	45	2.22%	3,301	39,612
•	Darby Well	1,219	30	3.33%	41	492
	Stewart 2 Way	604	20	500%	30	360
	Darby Pump	1,804	20	5.00%	90	1,080
	Water Meter	350	20	5.00%	18	198
	Pump Motor Davis Water	734	20	5.00%	37	407
		1,073	45	2.22%	24	264
	Control Box	264	25	4.00%	11	121
	Pump & Motor	739	20	5.00%	37	370
01-re0-93 01-Mar-93	Chem Feeders	1,077	8	12.50%	0	1,077
		3,673	20	5.00%	184	1,840
01-3ui-93 01-Sep-93	Pump Houses Meters	1,124	40	2.50%	28	280
01-Sep-93		1,044	25	4.00%	42	420
	Pump House	1,494	25	4.00%	60	600
	Pump Houses 8	562	40	2.50%	14	140
	Pump Houses 6	4,704	40	2.50%	118	1,180
01-Dec-93 01-Dec-93		4,757	40	2.50%	119	1,190
	Error Dep Basis	723	10	10.00%	72	723
01160-04	End bob page	1,861	66.67	1.50%	28	252

				Note # 1:		A
Date			Service	Depr.	Depr.	Accum.
Acquired	Description	Amount	Life	Rate	Expense	Depreciation
		\$	years	% 2.50%	\$	\$ 549
	4 Metal Sheds	2,431	40	2.50%	61	-
01-Feb-94		4,809	40	2.50%	120	1,080
	6 Pump Houses	3,607	40	2.50%	90	810 4 575
	Pump Crest	3,509	20	5.00%	175	1,575
01-Apr-94		1,545	25	4.00%	62 54	558 486
•	Two Way Radio	541	10	10.00%		
01-Apr-94	•	927	30	3.33%	31 23	279 207
	Two Way Radio	232	10	10.00%		
01-May-94		4,970	25	4.00%	199	1,791 261
01-May-94		574	20	5.00%	29	
01-May-94		1,202	66.67	1.50%	18	162
01-Jun-94		1,462	25	4.00%	58	522
01-Jul-94		4,011	25	4.00%	160	1,440
01-Jul-94	•	423	20	5.00%	21	189
	Radio Equip	374	10	10.00%	37	333
01-Sep-94		3,362	25	4.00%	134	1,206
	Farm Pond Meter	2,000	25	4.00%	80	720
	Farm Pond Pumps	4,000	20	5.00%	200	1,800
	Farm Pond System	750	45	2.22%	17	153
01-Oct-94	•	1,970	20	5.00%	99	891
01-Dec-94	•	665	20	5.00%	33	297
	Pump and Motor	853	20	5.00%	43	387
	Pump Houses	1,176	40	2.50%	29	261
	Chemical Feeders	4,752	8	12.50%	0	4,752
	2 HP Pump	1,007	20	5.00%	50	450
	Utility Shed Crest	1,817	25	4.00%	73	657
15-Feb-95	•	810	20	5.00%	41	328
	14 Chemical Feeders	1,401	8	12.50%	175	1,401
	HP Pump	1,046	20	5.00%	52	416
	6 Feeders	1,251	8	12.50%	156	1,251
23-Jan-96		864	25	4.00%	35	245
	Various Pump	6,877	20	5.00%	344	2,408
	2 Air Compressor	502		8.33%	42	252
15-Jan-97		1,629		2.22%	36	216
	Pump & Motor	3,713		500%	186	1,116
	HP Pump & Motor	675		5.00%	34	204
	Pump & Motor	717		5.00%	36	216
20-Aug-97		912		4.00%	36	216
	Water & Equipment	2,127	32	3.13%	67	335
	Water Utility Plant	1,142,187	32	3.13%	35,750	178,750
	Organizational Costs	40,919		0	0	0
	1989 Chevy	15,000		16.67%	0	15,000
	89 Chevy Truck	7,000		16.67%	0	7,000
	Chevrolet Trucks	18,710		16.67%	3,119	15,595
	Chevrolet Trucks	16,480		16.67%	2,747	13,735
	Bed Liner for Truck	1,050		10.00%	105	525
	Tool Box for Truck	1,407		10.00%	141	705
22-Mar-00		21,732		16.67%	3,623	10,869
01-May-00	Vehicle	21,684	6	16.67%	3,615	10,845
Total UTILI	TIES OF SOUTH CAROLINA	2,038,295			69,248	624,029

Exhibit "D" Page 24 of 26

Date				Note # 1:		
Acquired	Description		Service		Depr.	Accum.
Acquired	Description	Amount	Life	Rate	Expense	Depreciation
		\$	years	%	\$	\$
	SOUTH CAROLINA WATER & SEWER					
20-Dec-96	Machinery & Equipment	86,634	25	4.00%	3,465	24,255
30-May-97	18 Ft Trailer	1,785	6	16.67%	298	
30-May-97	Ford Tractor Equip	28,285	6	16.67%	4,715	1,785 28,285
20-Dec-96	Furn & Fixtures	14,857	15	6.67%	991	6,937
20-Dec-96	Land & Land Rights	225,526	0	0	0	0,937
20-Dec-96	Organization & Startup Costs	380,442	Ō	ō	ő	0
20-Dec-96	Franchises	2,221	40	2.50%	56	392
20-Dec-96	Structures & Improvements	406,506	32	3.13%	12,724	89,068
20-Dec-96	Wells & Springs	145,315	30	3.33%	4,839	33,873
20-Dec-96	Supply Mains	234,819	35	2.86%	6,716	47,012
20-Dec-96	Pumping Equipment	49,684	20	5.00%	2,484	17,388
20-Dec-96	Water Treatment Equipment	106,722	22	4.55%	4,856	33,992
20-Dec-96	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipes	615,833	37	2.70%	16,627	116,389
20-Dec-96	Transmission & Dist. Mains	840,400	43	2.33%	19,581	137,067
20-Dec-96		382,461	40	2.50%	9,562	66,934
20-Dec-96	Meter & Meter Install	112,860	25	4.00%	9,502 4,514	
20-Dec-96	Hydrants	9,275	45	2.22%	206	31,598
24-Feb-98	Water Util Prop	14,175	32	3.13%	444	1,442
30-Sep-98	Water Util Prop	25,919	32	3.13%	811	2,220
	Water Util Prop	9,666	32	3.13%	303	4,055
31-Mar-99	Water Util Prop	63,415	32	3.13%	1,985	1,515
20-Dec-96	1995 Dodge Pickup	15,425	6	16.67%	1,500	7,940
20-Dec-96	1994 Dodge Pickup	11,590	6	16.67%	0	15,425
	1995 Chev Pickup	13,404	6	16.67%	0	11,590
	1990 Jeep Cherokee	3,683	6	16.67%	0	13,404
20-Dec-96	1991 Ford 1/2 & 3/4 Pickup	93	6	16.67%	0	3,683
20-Dec-96	1985 Ford E-700	283	6	16.67%	0	93
17-Jun-97	1997 X-Cab #8553	18,751	6	16.67%	3,126	283
	1997 Worktruck #8518	16,012	6	16.67%	2,669	18,751
	1997 S-10 #6951	12,393	6	16.67%	2,066	16,012
31-Mar-99	1995 Ford Explorer	22,334	6	16.67%	3,723	12,393
	•	,00.	J	10.01 /0	3,723	14,892
	H CAROLINA WATER & SEWER	3,870,768		-	106,761	758,673
	Through Acquisition as of 12/31/02	6,118,383				
	ncluded by ORS					
	UTILITIES OF SOUTH CAROLINA					
03-Jun-98	Organizational Costs	(40,919)			0	0
<u>-</u>	SOUTH CAROLINA WATER & SEWER					
20-Dec-96	Organization & Startup Costs	(380,442)			0	0
ORS Plant T	hrough Acquisition as of 12/31/02	5,697,022		-	205,650	1,563,470

Exhibit "D" Page 25 of 26

Gross Plant Acquisition Adjustment - 2002  Plant through Acquistion (as restated)  A/D through Acquistion (as restated)  Net Plant at Acquistion (as restated)	ORS \$ 5,697,022 (1,563,470) 4,133,552	USSC \$ 6,118,383 (944,725) 5,173,658
Purchase Price Net Plant at Acquisition (as restated) Plant Acquisition Adjustment (as restated) Note	5,292,924 (4,133,552) 1,159,372	5,292,924 (5,173,658) 119,266
Plant Acquisition Adjustment (as restated) Amortized @ 10% (10 years) Amortization Expense	1,159,372 10.00% 115,937	119,266 1.50% 1,789
Less: Per Book Amount	(25,964)	(25,964)
Amortization Adjustment	89,973	(24,175)

Note # 2: ORS does not propose to include the Plant Acquisition Adjustment in the Rate Base.

## Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. Plant in Service and Accumulated Depreciation - As Adjusted Test Year Ended December 31, 2004

Restated Per ORS	Plant in Service
	\$
Gross Plant in Service - Per Book (USSC)	9 267 140
Less: Organization Costs from Acquired Companies	8,367,148
Gross Plant In Service - Per ORS	(421,361)
Pro-Forma Additions (Vehicles Excluded)	7,945,787
Pro-Forma Vehicles	605,535
Excess Book Value	79,655 963
Work Orders Completed	
Less: Pro Forma Retirements	848,978
Less: Extraordinary Retirement	(301,242)
Less: Elevated Storage Tank Removal	(21,677)
<u> </u>	(75,053)
Total Gross Plant in Service As Adjusted - Per ORS	9,082,946
	Accumulated <u>Depreciation</u> \$
Acquisition Assets(Vehicles Excluded)	
Asset Additions (Vehicles & Organization Costs Excluded)	1,581,280
Organization Organization Costs Excluded)	47,132
Vehicles	7,402
Pro-Forma Additions (Vehicles Excluded)	149,209
Pro-Forma Vehicles	9,083
Excess Book Value	19,914
Work Orders Completed	37
Less: Pro Forma Retirements	12,735
Less: Accumulated Depreciation Assoc. w/Retirements	(301,242)
Accumulated Depreciation - As Adjusted (ORS)	(506,692)
2 oprovincion - As Aujusteu (ORS)	1,018,858
Accumulated Depreciation - Per Book (USSC)	719,490
Adjustment to Increase Accumulated Depreciation	299,368

## EXHIBIT "E" TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT DOCKET NO. 2005-217-WS

#### UTILITIES SERVICES OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.

#### PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES

#### **WATER**

1. Monthly Charges Residential

Basic Facilities Charge per single family house, condominium, mobile home or apartment unit:

\$14.42 per unit\*

Commodity Charge:

\$3.91 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft

#### Commercial

Basic Facilities Charge by meter size:

1"	0	\$36.06
1.5"	•	\$72.11
2"	0	\$115.38
3"	0	\$230.76
4"	0	\$360.56

Commodity Charge:

\$3.91 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft

Charges for Water Distribution Only

Where water is purchased from a government body or agency or other entity for distribution and resale by the Company, the following rates apply:

#### Residential

Basic Facilities Charge per single family house, condominium, mobile home or apartment unit:

\$14.42 per unit\*

Commodity charge:

\$2.24 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft

<sup>\*</sup>Residential customers with meters of 1" or larger will be charged commercial rate

\*Residential customers with meters of 1" or larger will be charged commercial rate

#### Commercial

Basic Facilities Charge by meter size:

1"	0	\$36.06
1.5"	0	\$72.11
2"	0	\$115.38
3"	0	\$230.76
4"	0	\$360.56

Commodity charge:

\$2.24 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft

The Utility will also charge for the cost of water purchased from the government body or agency, or other entity. The charges imposed or charged by the government body or agency, or other entity providing the water supply will be charged to the Utility's affected customers on a pro rata basis without markup. Where the Utility is required by regulatory authority with jurisdiction over the Utility to interconnect to the water supply system of a government body or agency or other entity and tap/connection/impact fees are imposed by that entity, such tap/connection/impact fees will also be charged to the Utility's affected customers on a pro rata basis, without markup. The Utility shall give the Commission thirty days notice of its intent to pass-through to customers purchased water charges which are higher than those in effect at the time of the Commission's approval of the within rate schedule. The Utility shall provide with such notice written documentation of an increase by the provider of purchased water justifying the increase in the amount of purchased water charges sought to be passed-through to affected customers. In the event that an increase in the amount of purchased water charges to be passed through to customers rate is found by the Commission to be so justified, USSC will then be required to give customers an additional thirty days notice before the increase in the purchased water charges to be passed through may be put into effect.

Commercial customers are those not included in the residential category above and include, but are not limited to hotels, stores, restaurants, offices, industry, etc.

The Utility will, for the convenience of the owner, bill a tenant in a multi-unit building, consisting of four or more residential units, which is served by a master water meter or a single water connection. However, in such cases all arrearages must be satisfied before service will be provided to a new tenant or before interrupted service will be restored. Failure of an owner to pay for services rendered to a tenant in these circumstances may result in service

interruptions.

When, because of the method of water line installation utilized by the developer or owner, it is impractical to meter each unit separately, service will be provided through a single meter, and consumption of all units will be averaged; a bill will be calculated based on that average and the result multiplied by the number of units served by a single meter.

2. Nonrecurring Charges

Tap Fees

\$500 per SFE\*

- Account Set-Up and Reconnection Charges
  - a. Customer Account Charge for new customers only.All Areas \$25.00
  - b. Reconnection Charges: In addition to any other charges that may be due, a reconnection fee of thirty five dollars (\$35.00) shall be due prior to the Utility reconnecting service which has been disconnected for any reason set forth in Commission Rule R.103-732.5. Customers who ask to be reconnected within nine months of disconnection will be charged the monthly base facility charge for the service period they were disconnected. The reconnection fee shall also be due prior to reconnection if water service has been disconnected at the request of the customer.
- 4. Billing Cycle

Recurring charges will be billed monthly in arrears. Nonrecurring charges will be billed and collected in advance of service being provided.

5. Extension of Utility Service Lines and Mains

The Utility shall have no obligation at its expense to extend its utility service lines or mains in order to permit any customer to connect to its water system. However, anyone or any entity which is willing to pay all costs associated with extending an appropriately sized and constructed main or utility service line from his/her/its premises to any appropriate connection point, to pay the appropriate fees and charges set forth in this rate schedule, and comply with the guidelines and standards hereof, shall not be denied service, unless water supply is unavailable or unless the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control or other government entity has restricted the Utility from adding for any reason additional customers to the serving water system. In no event will the Utility be required to construct additional water supply capacity to serve any customer or entity without an agreement acceptable to the Utility first having been reached for the payment of all costs associated with adding water supply capacity to the affected water system.

#### 6. Cross Connection Inspection Fee

Any customer installing, permitting to be installed, or maintaining any cross connection between the Utility's water system and any other non-public water system, sewer or a line from any container of liquids or other substances, must install an approved back-flow prevention device in accordance with 24A S.C. Code Ann. Regs. R.61-58.7.F.2 (Supp. 2004), as may be amended from time to time. Such a customer shall annually have such cross connection inspected by a licensed certified tester and provide to Utility a copy of a written inspection report and testing results submitted by the certified tester in accordance with 24A S.C. Code Ann. Regs. R.61—58.7.F.8.(Supp. 2004), as may be amended from time to time. Said report and results must be provided by the customer to the Utility no later than June 30<sup>th</sup> of each year. Should a customer subject to these requirements fail to timely provide such report and results, Utility may arrange for inspection and testing by a licensed certified tester and add the charges incurred by the Utility in that regard to the customer's next bill.

\* A Single Family Equivalent (SFE) shall be determined by using the South Carolina Department of Environmental Control Guidelines for Unit Contributory Loadings for Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities -- 25 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 61-67 Appendix A (Supp. 2004), as may be amended from time to time. Where applicable, such guidelines shall be used for determination of the appropriate monthly service and tap fee.

#### **SEWER**

#### Monthly Charges

Residential - charge per single-family house, condominium, villa, or apartment unit:

\$41.39 per unit

Mobile Homes:

\$29.74 per unit

Commercial:

\$41.39 per SFE\*

Commercial customers are those not included in the residential category above and include, but are not limited to, hotels, stores, restaurants, offices, industry, etc.

Charge for Sewer Collection Only

When sewage is collected by the Utility and transferred to a government body or agency, or other entity, for treatment, the Utility's rates are as follows:

Residential - per single-family house, condominium, or apartment unit

\$26.64 per unit

Commercial - per single-family equivalent

\$26.64 per SFE\*

The Utility will also charge for treatment services provided by the government body or agency, or other entity. The rates imposed or charged by the government body or agency, or other, entity providing treatment will be charged to the Utility's affected customers on a pro rata basis, without markup. Where the Utility is required under the terms of a 201/208 Plan, or by other regulatory authority with jurisdiction over the Utility, to interconnect to the sewage treatment system of a government body or agency or other entity and tap/connection/impact fees are imposed by that entity, such tap/connection/impact fees will be charged to the Utility's affected customers on a pro rata basis, without markup. The Utility shall give the Commission thirty days notice of its intent to pass-through to customers treatment charges which are higher than those in effect at the time of the Commission's approval of the within rate schedule. The Utility shall provide with such notice written documentation of an increase by the provider of treatment services justifying the increase in the amount of treatment charges sought to be passed-through to affected customers. In the event that an increase in the amount of treatment charges to be passed through to customers rate is found by the Commission to be so justified, USSC will then be required to give customers an additional thirty days notice before the increase in the treatment charges to be passed through may be put into effect.

The Utility will, for the convenience of the owner, bill a tenant in a multi-unit building, consisting of four or more residential units, which is served by a master sewer meter or a single sewer connection. However, in such cases all arrearages must be satisfied before service will be provided to a new tenant or before interrupted service will be restored. Failure of an owner to pay for services rendered to a tenant in these circumstances may result in service interruptions.

#### Solids Interceptor Tanks

For all customers receiving sewage collection service through an approved solids interceptor tank, the following additional charges shall apply:

#### A. Pumping Charge

At such time as the Utility determines through

its inspection that excessive solids have accumulated in the interceptor tank, the Utility will arrange for pumping the tank and will include \$150.00 as a separate item in the next regular billing to the customer.

#### B. Pump Repair or Replacement Charge

If a separate pump is required to transport the customer's sewage from solids interceptor tank to the Utility's sewage collection system, the Utility will arrange to have this pump repaired or replaced as required and will include the cost of such repair or replacement and may be paid for over a one year period.

#### C. Visual Inspection Port

In order for a customer who uses a solids interceptor tank to receive sewage service from the Utility or to continue to receive such service, the customer shall install at the customer's expense a visual inspection port which will allow for observation of the contents of the solids interceptor tank and extraction of test samples therefrom. Failure to provide such a visual inspection port after timely notice of not less than thirty (30) days shall be just cause for interruption of service until a visual inspection port has been installed.

### 2. Nonrecurring Charges

Tap Fee

\$500 per SFE\*

The nonrecurring charges listed above are minimum charges and apply even if the equivalency rating of a non residential customer is less than one (1). If the equivalency rating of a non residential customer is greater than one (1), then the proper charge may be obtained by multiplying the equivalency rating by the appropriate fee. These charges apply and are due at the time new service is applied for, or at the time connection to the sewer system is requested.

3. Notification, Account Set-Up and Reconnection Charges

#### a. Notification Fee

A fee of six dollars (\$6.00) shall be charged each customer to whom the Utility mails the notice as required by Commission Rule R. 103-535.1 prior to service being discontinued. This fee assesses a portion of the clerical and mailing costs of such notices to the customers creating the cost.

b. Customer Account Charge - for new customers only.

All Areas \$25.00

A one-time fee to defray the costs of initiating service. This charge will be waived if the customer also takes water service.

c. Reconnection Charges: In addition to any other charges that may be due, a reconnection fee of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) shall be due prior to the Utility reconnecting service which has been disconnected for any reason set forth in Commission Rule R.103-532.4. Where an elder valve has been previously installed, a reconnection charge of thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) shall be due. Customers who ask to be reconnected within nine months of disconnection will be charged the monthly service charge for the service period they were disconnected.

#### 4. Billing Cycle

Recurring charges will be billed monthly, in arrears. Nonrecurring charges will be billed and collected in advance of service being provided.

#### 5. Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Guidelines

The Utility will not accept or treat any substance or material that has been defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") or the South Carolina Department of Environmental Control ("DHEC") as a toxic pollutant, hazardous waste, or hazardous substance, including pollutants falling within the provisions of 40 CFR 129.4 and 401.15. Additionally, pollutants or pollutant properties subject to 40 CFR 403.5 and 403.6 are to be processed according to the pretreatment standards applicable to such pollutants or pollutant properties, and such standards constitute the Utility's minimum pretreatment standards. Any person or entity introducing any such prohibited or untreated materials into the Company's sewer system may have service interrupted without notice until such discharges cease, and shall be liable to the Utility for all damages and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred by the Utility as a result thereof.

### 6. Extension of Utility Service Lines and Mains

The Utility shall have no obligation at its expense to extend its utility service lines or mains in order to permit any customer to discharge acceptable

wastewater into one of its sewer systems. However, anyone or any entity which is willing to pay all costs associated with extending an appropriately sized and constructed main or utility service line from his/her/its premises to an appropriate connection point, to pay the appropriate fees and charges set forth in this rate schedule and to comply with the guidelines and standards hereof, shall not be denied service, unless treatment capacity is unavailable or unless the South Carolina Department or Health and Environmental Control or other government entity has restricted the Utility from adding for any reason additional customers to the serving sewer system.

In no event will the Utility be required to construct additional wastewater treatment capacity to serve any customer or entity without an agreement acceptable to the Utility first having been reached for the payment of all costs associated with adding wastewater treatment capacity to the affected sewer system.

\*A Single Family Equivalent (SFE) shall be determined by using the South Carolina Department of Environmental Control Guidelines for Unit Contributory Loading for Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities --25 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 61-67 Appendix A (Supp. 2004), as may be amended from time to time. Where applicable, such guidelines shall be used for determination of the appropriate monthly service and tap fee.